## EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

### STATE OF FLORIDA

E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHAIRMAN



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6046

# Hublic Service Commission

RECEIVE

September 6, 2001

SEP 1 0 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

### **VIA AIRBORNE EXPRESS**

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW, Room TWB-204 Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: Ex parte letter in Docket 00-199 In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Ms. Salas:

Today, I sent the attached letters on the above-listed docket. As required by your rule 1.1206, enclosed are two copies.

E. Leon Jacobs

Sincerely

Chairman

ELJ:tf Enclosure

An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHAIRMAN



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6046

# Hublic Service Commissi BACEIVED

September 6, 2001

SEP 1 0 2001

FCC MAIL MOOM

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

RE: Ex parte letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

### Dear Chairman Powell:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs,

Chairman

E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHAIRMAN



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6046

# Hublic Service CommissipeceIVED

September 6, 2001

SEP 1 0 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Honorable Gloria Tristani Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

RE: Ex parte letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Commissioner Tristani:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely

E. Leon Jacobs,

Chairman

E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHAIRMAN



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6046

# Public Service Commission

September 6, 2001

RECEIVED

SEP 1 0 2001

Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC MAIL ROOM VIA FACSIMILE

RE:

Ex parte letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs, Chairman

E. LEON JACOBS, JR., **CHAIRMAN** 



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6046

## Hublic Service Commission RECEIVED

September 6, 2001

SEP 1 0 2001

Honorable Michael J. Copps Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC MAIL ROOM VIA FACSIMILE

RE:

Ex parte letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local **Exchange Carriers** 

Dear Commissioner Copps:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs,

Chairman

E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHAIRMAN



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6046

# Public Service Commission

September 6, 2001

RECEIVED

SEP 1 0 2001

Honorable Kevin J. Martin Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC MAIL ROOM
VIA FACSIMILE

RE: Ex parte letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

E. Leon Jacobs, Chairman

Sincerely.